Ozonation of 1,1,2,2-Tetraphenylethene Revisited: Evidence for Electron-Transfer Oxy genations¹)

by Kurt Schank*, Horst Beck²), Michael Buschlinger²), Jörg Eder³), Thomas Heisel, Susanne Pistorius²), and Christiane Wagner²)

> Department of Organic Chemistry, University of Saarland, D-66041 Saarbrücken (Fax: 49(681)302 4747; e-mail: kschank@rz.uni-sb.de)

Ozonolyses of 1,1,2,2-tetraphenylethene (TPE, 1) have been described many times in the literature, but the reports are contradictory. This reaction is particularly important for understanding the mechanism of alkene ozonolysis, in view of possible stabilization of reactive intermediates by aryl groups. Thus, systematic investigations of ozonolysis in both aprotic solvents and in protic solvents are reported here. Attention is directed to the following details that have been underestimated in the past: i) the actual electronic structure of ground-state ozone (O_3) , ii) differentiation between strained and unstrained alkenes, iii) the significance of both the O_3 concentration and the TPE concentration, iv) the influence of various solvents, including pyridine, v) the influence of the reaction temperature, vi) the role of electron-transfer catalysis (ETC) and, vii) the effect of structural modifications. Our results suggest that ozonolysis of TPE (1) does not include a 1,3-dipolar reaction step, but represents a particularly interesting example of electron-donor (TPE)/electron-acceptor (O_3) redox chemistry. The present investigations include several crucial results. First, pure 3,3,6,6-tetraphenyltetroxane (3, m.p. 221 \degree (dec.)) and pure tetraphenylethylene ozonide (4, m.p. 153 \degree (dec.)) are prepared for the first time, although 3 and 4 have long been known. Second, the singlet diradical character of $O₃$, lessened by means of hypervalent-electron interaction and predicted by different calculations, is evidenced via reaction with the spintrap galvinoxyl (2,6-bis(1,1-dimethylethyl)-4-{[3,5-bis(1,1-dimethylethyl)-4-oxocyclohexa-2,5-dien-1-ylidene] methyl}phenoxy; 8), and the zwitterionic reaction behavior of ground-state O_3 is ruled out. Third, the electronacceptor ability of O_3 is evidenced by reactions with suitable tetraaryl ethylenes: it is enhanced by addition of catalytic amounts of protons or Lewis acids. Fourth, the observed distribution of the $O₃$ O-atoms to the two different olefinic C-atoms of the unsymmetric alkene 27b is in full agreement with an initial single-electron transfer (SET) step, followed by a radical mono-oxygenation to cause the crucial C,C cleavage. Final dioxygenation should lead to the generally known products (ozonides, tetroxanes, hydroperoxides). The regioselectivity is found to be inconsistent with the expected decay of an intermediate primary ozonide. Finally, the treatment of 1,2-bis(4-methoxyphenyl)acenaphthylene (36) with $O₃$ (simultaneous transfer of three Oatoms) leads to the same experimental result as a stepwise transfer of one O-atom followed by a transfer of two O-atoms.

1. Introduction. $-$ To the best of our knowledge, the first ozonolysis of 1,1,2,2tetraphenylethene (TPE; 1) was described in 1925 by *Fischer* and *Müller* [1]. These authors found a quantitative formation of benzophenone (2) upon ozonizing 1 in CHCl₃ at -78° (*Scheme 1*). Later experiments, carried out mostly in CCl₄ at 0^o, led to formation of the tetroxane 3 as the main product $(52-59\% \text{ yield})$ [2]. But these yields were calculated on the assumption that only one molecule of peroxide could be formed from one molecule of olefin [2a]. Since the stoichiometry of tetroxane formation via

¹⁾ Presented in part at the 3rd International Conference on Heteroatom Chemistry, Riccione, Italy, 1992.

²) Part of Ph.D. theses of H.B. (1988), M.B. (1994), S.P. (1992), and C.W. (1998), University of Saarland.³) Dinloma thesis of J.E., University of Saarland, 1994.

Diploma thesis of J.E., University of Saarland, 1994.

ozonolysis needs two molecules of olefin, these yields should be halved, and it should be recognized that benzophenone (2) was actually the main product. Furthermore, in 1968, Criegee reported that TPE (1) is an olefin that does not itself form an ozonide [3], and it was only three years later that he accomplished the synthesis of TPE ozonide [4], even *via* a route that had been described by others to be ineffective [2d,e]. His results were later confirmed [5], and his approach is applied here. Though the wide range of the melting points (with decomposition) described for ozonide 4 (155 – 169 $^{\circ}$) does not offer a criterion for the purity of samples, the difference from the reported average melting and decomposition temperatures of tetroxane $3(200-228)$ was too large to be ignored [4].

a) CHCl₃, -78° [1]. b) CCl₄, 0° [2]. c) CCl₄, 0° or liquid 2, 55° [4]. d) MeOH, CCl₄, 0° [6]. e) MeOH, CHCl₃, -78° [7].

Similar inconsistencies were reported for ozonolysis of TPE in the presence of MeOH. Whereas *Renard* and *Fliszár* [6]⁴) reported a quantitative yield (104 – 120% on the basis of O_3 consumed) of diphenyl methoxymethyl hydroperoxide (5), *Robertson* and Verzino [7] described formation of diphenylmethyl dihydroperoxide (6) instead of 5. Renard and Fliszar [6] did not report the isolation of hydroperoxide 5; 5 was later prepared by another route, isolated, and characterized (m.p. $62-64^{\circ}$) [8], and it was proposed that formation of the established bis(hydroperoxide) 6 occurs by a reaction involving H_2O_2 [7]. Although H_2O_2 can be generated by ozonation of alcohols [9], it is known that MeOH, in particular, is not attacked by O_3 at temperatures below -20° . Even at higher temperatures, MeOH does not react with $O₃$ in the presence of a reactive olefin, since the olefin reacts quantitatively with O_3 faster than the O_3 can react with MeOH to form H_2O_2 , or the H_2O_2 can form 6, even in a large excess of the more nucleophilic MeOH (see Scheme 1).

⁴⁾ See also Table 2 in [2d].

A common proposal made by researchers who reported formation of peroxidic products $3-6$ [2] [4-7] is that ozonolysis of 1 produces the carbonyl oxide of benzophenone, 7, as the reactive precursor. However, the only established behavior of 7 is its decomposition in matrix [10] or in solution [11] to yield benzophenone and oxygen; 3 or 4 should have been formed, at least in solution, whenever 7 or 2 were present, but were not found [11] (Scheme 2).

Scheme 2. Generation and Decomposition of Benzophenone Oxide (7) (in solution, according to Griller and coworkers [11])

From these contradictory literature reports, it seems unlikely that the ozonide 4 would form *via* the widely accepted *Criegee* mechanism [12]. The first step of the *Criegee* mechanism involves a 1,3-dipolar cycloaddition of ground-state O_3 (a known electrophile having a very modest dipole moment of 0.53 D) to the strained and distorted olefin TPE (1) [13], which seems highly improbable. Furthermore, 1 is known to fail the usual olefin test with $\text{Br}_2(A_{\text{E}} \text{ mechanism}).$

In view of these manifold uncertainties, we began a systematic reinvestigation of ozonations of TPE (1) . We considered it essential to a) generate pure samples of 3 and 4 with reproducible melting and decomposition temperatures to use as standards in the analysis of mixtures of ozonide 4 and tetroxane 3, b) determine the typical behavior of ground-state O_3 from experimental results, c) examine solvent, concentration, and temperature effects in TPE ozonations, and d) explore substituent effects for tetrasubstituted ethylenes compared to TPE ozonolyses. It seemed also prudent to optimize conditions for single-electron transfer (SET).

2. Results. $- 2.1$. Preparations of Pure Tetroxane 3 and Ozonide 4. Previously reported preparations of 3 included reaction of 2 with H_2O_2 [2a], reaction of benzophenone dichloride with 30% aqueous H_2O_2 [14], ozonolysis of 1 and of other 1,1-diphenylalk-1-enes [2], and photooxygenation of diphenyl diazomethane [15]. Melting points (with decomposition) of $159-162^{\circ}$ [8c], 170° [16], $200-220^{\circ}$ [17], $205-206^{\circ}$ [2d] [18], 206 – 208° [2b], 206.5 – 215° [14], 212.5° [2a], 213.5 – 214° [15], 214 – 215° [19], 216 – 217° [2c], and $225 - 228^{\circ}$ [2f] have been reported⁵). Typical properties of 3 have been described by Marvel and Nichols [14]. Because the reaction of benzophenone dichloride with 30% aqueous H_2O_2 was not always reliable [2c], we prepared only small amounts of 3 without application of O_3 . It was, however, difficult to obtain a pure substance: first, minor impurities in 2 could not be removed by repeated recrystallization, and

⁵⁾ The highest [2f] and lowest [8c] melting-point ranges reported are suspect because of inconsistencies within these citations and with the literature cited therein.

second, chromatographic separation of 3 from 2 (silica gel/CH₂Cl₂; room temperature) led inevitably to some catalytic decomposition of 3. Cooling the chromatographic column to ca. 15 $^{\circ}$ prevented this undesired decomposition, and in this way pure 3 was accessible (m.p. 221° , with decomposition, determined independently with a copper block and automatically recorded with a Fus-O-mat (Heraeus, D-Darmstadt)).

Surprisingly, samples of 3 generated by ozonolyses of TPE (1) and purified as described above did not have melting points in excess of $215 - 216^{\circ}$. Although the ¹H-NMR spectrum of a sample having a melting point of $215-216^{\circ}$ was identical to that of one melting at 221° , the melting point of the former could not be increased by further purification. This discrepancy is probably due to the presence of a persistent trace impurity, most likely of the corresponding trimer, as indicated by mass spectroscopy (CI-MS, EE 120 eV, CH₄, 231°, 594 (0.08%).

Pure ozonide 4 was prepared according to the method of Criegee and Korber from 1 in liquid 2 at 55 \degree [4]; pure 4 had a melting point of 153 \degree (dec.). Although the formulae of 3 and 4 differ by only one O-atom, their ¹ H-NMR spectra are completely different (Fig. 1). In particular, it should be emphasized that 3 displays a $4:4:2:6:4$ pattern of aromatic-H multiplets at 400 MHz and a 4 : 6 : 6 : 4 pattern at 100 MHz [20], whereas 4 displays only two 8:12 aromatic-H *multiplets*. The lower-field 8 arom. H *multiplet* $(7.37 - 7.44$ ppm) is not superimposable on the spectrum of the tetroxane H-atoms, and can be used to calculate mutual impurities of 3 in 4 , or of 4 in 3 . Cross contamination of 3 and 4 is likely responsible for the different melting temperatures reported in literature.

2.2. The Electronic Structure of Ground-State O_3 . The early paper by Trambarulo et al. [21] succeeded in establishing the view that ground-state O_3 is an allyl anion-like zwitterion (A, Fig. 2). Contemporary calculations suggest that O_3 is a singlet 1,3diradical (**B**, Fig. 2) [22] or a heteroallene-like species (**C**, Fig. 2) with a hypervalent central O-atom [23]. Whichever the best description is, $O₃$ displays the typical properties of a diradical in its reactions with other radicals $[24 - 26]$. In the course of such a radical combination, one O_3 O-atom is transferred to the other radical, resulting in *mono-oxygenation* (*Scheme 3*). It has also been reported that carbon radicals react analogously with $O₃$ [27], but no details were given.

To probe the diradical nature of O_3 , we reacted the commercially available stable organic standard radical and radical scavenger, galvinoxyl, with ozonized $O₂$. It was shown earlier that galvinoxyl (2,6-bis(1,1-dimethylethyl)-4-{[3,5-bis(1,1-dimethylethyl)-4-oxocyclohexa-2,5-dien-1-ylidene]methyl}phenoxy; 8) is only relatively stable towards O_2 [28]; a solution of **8** (1.0 g, 2.37 mmol) in CCl₄ (500 ml) was reported to

Scheme 3. Typical O-Transfer Radical Reactions of O_3 or O_2 (reactions with nitroso and nitrone spin traps [26])

 $R^* + O_3 \longrightarrow R-O-O_2^* \longrightarrow O_2 + R-O^*$

 $R^* + O_2 \longrightarrow R - O - O^*$ $2R - 0 - 0$ \rightarrow $R - 0 - 0 - 0 - R$ \rightarrow $0, + 2R - 0$ R = HO [24a], F₃CO, F-C-O, NO [24b,c], NO₂ [25]
O

Fig. 2. Electronic configurations of O_3 discussed in the literature (A [21], **B** [22], and **C** [23])

react completely with O_2 in 24 h [28c] (*Scheme 4*). A corresponding conversion with ozonized O_2 (a stream of O_2 at 1 l/min containing 1.8 mmol O_3 /min) was complete after ca. 4 min. Both processes resulted in formation of aldehyde 10, which was rather stable towards O_2 alone, but could be easily converted to the substituted benzoic acid 10a by ozonized $O₂$ (confirmed by an independent experiment with commercially available 10). Polymeric impurities in both reaction mixtures were easily removed by adsorption on alumina. This result supports the proposal that O_3 is a diradical species.

To judge the contribution of the zwitterionic O_3 formula \mathbf{A} (*Fig. 2*), the 'push-pull' olefin 2-(methoxymethylidene)propanedinitrile (11) was treated with ozonized O₂ in CH₂Cl₂ solution at temperatures between -78° and 0° [29] (Scheme 5). That no reaction occurred, in spite of a tenfold excess of O_3 , suggests that formula **A** does not significantly contribute to the structure of O_3 .

2.3. Results of the Ozonations of TPE (1) in Aprotic Medium. Ozonolysis of 1 in CFCl₃ at -105° yielded mainly 2, and 3 in only trace amounts. Earlier ozonolyses of 1 in CCl₄ (cf. Scheme 1) were carried out in suspensions, since ca. 15 mm of 1 in CCl₄ is the solubility limit at 0° (cf. Entry 2 in Table 1). Therefore, the superior solubility of 1 in CH₂Cl₂ was exploited for investigations below 0° for concentration studies, while CCl₄ was used for corresponding investigations above 0° . It was striking that the formation of ozonide 4 was observed in considerable amounts at higher reaction temperatures, and that trace amounts persisting at -78° caused depressions of the melting points of

Scheme 5. Attempted Ozonation of Enol Ether 11 ('push-pull' olefin)

En- try	Solvent and other	Concen-	Reaction	Yields				
	conditions	tration of 1 [mm]	temp. $[°]$	Ketone 2 [%]	Tetroxane 3 [%]	Ozonide 4 [%]	Ester 12 [%]	
	CFCI ₃	14	-105	72	28		$a\lambda$	
2	CCl ₄	14	Ω	70	27.5	2.5		
3	CCl_4	14	22.5	57	36	7	a)	
$\overline{4}$	CCl_4	14	55	71.5	14	10.5	4	
5	$CICH_2CH_2Cl$	14	Ω	80	17	0.5	2.5	
6	CH_2Cl_2	14	Ω	77	16	a١	6	
7	CH_2Cl_2	6	-78	81	14	a١	5	
8	CH_2Cl_2	14	-78	77	20	a١	3	
9	CH_2Cl_2	113	-78	75.5	23.5	a١	1	
10	$CH2Cl2/FeCl3b)$	15	-78	88.5	a)		11.5	
11	CH_2Cl_2 inverse ^c)	$\rightarrow 0^{\circ}$)	-78	86			14	
12	$CH_2Cl_2 + 2^d$	20	$0 \text{ or } 22.5$	quant.				
13	$CH_2Cl_2 + 2^e$	14	Ω	98	2	a)		
14	$AcOEt + 2f$)	14	Ω	quant.				
15	$\mathbf{2}$	100	55	\mathbf{g})		14.6		
16	CH_2Cl_2 /pyridine 1:2 ^h)	14	-78	quant.				

Table 1. Products from Ozonolysis of 1 in Aprotic Medium under Various Conditions

^a) Trace amounts. ^b) FeCl₃ added as 1 ml of a 2% soln. in abs. CH₂Cl₂. ^c) O₃ at 19.5 mmol/l in CH₂Cl₂ at -78° ; 1 added dropwise at 3 mmol/l. ^d) 20 g of 2 in 100 ml. ^e) 30 g of 2 in 150 ml. ^f) 40 g of 2 in 150 ml; ^g) Not determined, 2 as cosolvent. ^h) Formation of pyridine *N*-oxide: *ca.* quant. yield by ¹H-NMR detection, or ca. 56% from conversion to the picrate $(m.p. 179.5 - 180^{\circ})$.

isolated tetroxane 3 samples. Phenyl benzoate (12) was an additional impurity, formed during all reactions performed in CH₂Cl₂, and in those performed in CCl₄ above 20 $^{\circ}$. Its removal, together with 2, from samples of 3 and 4 was easily accomplished by chromatographic purification at 15° . No discrepancies were observed for reaction performed in 1,2-dichloroethane.

The addition of a catalytic amount of anhydrous $FeCl₃$, a SET catalyst, to a solution of 1 in CH₂Cl₂ (*Entry 10* in *Table 1*) led to some surprising observations. The characteristic purple color of radical cation $1 + 30$ occurred only when the solvent had not been degassed (with Ar in an ultrasound bath) to remove $O₂$. This intense purple color likewise occurred in the presence of air after addition of strong protic acids (the intensity of the color with $CF_3SO_3H > CH_3SO_3H > H_2SO_4$ and its source, namely 1^{++} , could be characterized by ESR spectroscopy (Fig. 3)⁶). Surprisingly, when samples of 1 were freshly prepared by reductive methods (from benzophenone dichloride and copper [31a] or from 2 by $McMurry$ reduction [31b]), the purple color changed rapidly to dark green (λ_{max} 700 nm, log ε 0.3 [32]), and the compound became ESR-inactive [33]. A similar green color was reported during oxidation of 1 with aminium radical cation salts [34]. Moreover, the purple or green color disappeared just before a molar amount of O_3 was introduced. The reactions followed the same course after consumption of equivalent amounts of O_3 (*Entry 10* in *Table 1*). The product profile from inverse ozonolysis of 1 in CH₂Cl₂ (*Entry 11* in *Table 1*) was nearly the same (*cf. Entry 10* in *Table 1*), however, the deep blue color of the O_3 solution obscured the color

⁶⁾ Performed by L. Eberson, Lund, Sweden.

Fig. 3. ESR Spectrum of 1^{+} from 1 in the presence of HFP/H⁺/O₂

development during formation of the charge-transfer complex (cf. Table 2). Nevertheless, charge transfer between 1 and O_3 in a matrix experiment has been described [35].

Ozonolyses of 1 in CH₂Cl₂ or AcOEt solution in the presence of 2 led exclusively to formation of 2 (*Entries 12–14* in *Table 1*), which establishes that ozonide formation from a solution of 1 in liquid 2 at 55 \degree (*Entry 15* in *Table 1*) was not simply the result of the usual cross-ozonolysis. The ozonide yields for *Entries 4* and 15 in Table 1 indicate a common temperature effect (55°) .

Only at best was a trace amount of ozonide 4 formed during ozonolysis at temperatures $\leq 0^{\circ}$ (*Entries 1, 5 – 14, and 16 in Table 1*). The yield of 4 from ozonolysis in CCl₄ as a function of temperature (*Entries 2 – 4* in Table 1) and the similarity in the yield of 4 under different solvent conditions at 55° (*Entries 4* and 15 in Table 1) points to a dependence on temperature in the formation of this product.

It is generally accepted that ozonolysis of simple olefins in the presence of pyridine does not lead to formation of pyridine N-oxide [36], however ozonolysis of strained olefin 1 in CH₂Cl₂/pyridine (*Entry 16* in *Table 1*) proved to be an exception in that, in addition to 2, pyridine N -oxide was formed quantitatively. The pyridine N -oxide product was identified both by ¹H-NMR spectroscopy and by precipitation of its picrate (56% yield, m.p. 179.5 – 180 $^{\circ}$ [37]⁷) after evaporation of excess pyridine.

In summary, ozonolysis of TPE (1) in an aprotic medium is apparently dependent on solvent, concentration of 1, reaction temperature, and on the presence of a SET catalyst.

2.4. Application of 1,1,1,3,3,3-Hexafluoropropan-2-ol (HFP) as a Radical-Cation Stabilizer. Eberson et al. [39] provided evidence that radical cations from electron-rich arenes can be stabilized by solvation with HFP. To determine whether a similar stabilization would be observed with radical cation 1^{+} [30], several ozonations of 1 were carried out at 0° in CH₂Cl₂ solutions containing various amounts of HFP. Whereas

 $7)$ A melting point of 167 \degree recently reported [38] actually corresponds to the picrate of pyridine.

ozonation in the absence of HFP (Table 1) gave initially colorless to yellow solutions that ultimately decolorized, the presence of HFP in the ozonolysis solvent led to permanently yellow (HFP/1 4:1) to reddish brown (HFP/1 $>$ 20:1) solutions. This visible change was dependent on a different composition of products. Inclusion of HFP led to formation of the Wagner-Meerwein rearrangement product 14, for the first time during ozonolyses of TPE. The amount of 14 formed was directly proportional to the HFP content, while the corresponding yields of 2 and of phenyl benzoate (12) were inversely proportional (Figs. 4 and 5).

Fig. 4. Ozonolysis of TPE (1) at 0° in CH₂Cl₂ (20 ml) as a function of HFP concentration

2.5. Oxirane Formation during Ozonolysis of 16 as a Substitute for 1. Unexpectedly, tetraphenyloxirane (15), corresponding to strained olefin 1, was not found in product mixtures resulting from ozonations carried out with a mixture of $O₃/O₂$, although the related electron-transfer photo-oxygenation of 1 [40] generated a small amount (1.5%) of 15, and a 3% yield of 15 from 1 was reported for an oxygenation carried out in a

Fig. 5. Hitherto undescribed products from ozonolyses of TPE (1)

mixture of NO₂/O₂ [30b]. Hence, strained and distorted 1 was replaced by undistorted⁸) (E) -1,2-di $(\text{tert-buty}$)-1,2-diphenylethylene (16) [41], and its ozonation products were studied for comparison [42] (Scheme 6). Unlike 1, 16 was rather unreactive towards O_3 , and the C,C-cleavage product, (tert-butyl)phenyl ketone (17), was the main product. Cyclic peroxides corresponding to 3 or 4 were not found, but the very unstable polymeric peroxides 20, which had variable O contents (0.83 to 1.77 O per molecule 16 consumed), could be isolated from the solutions after ozonolysis. Each reaction furnished oxirane 18 and *tert*-butyl benzoate (19) , no traces of the isomeric phenyl pivalate could be detected.

Scheme 6. Products from Ozonation of 16 under Various Conditions

2.6. Ozonolysis of TPE (1) in the Presence of MeOH. Repetition of ozonolyses of 1 in the presence of absolute MeOH, according to *Renard* and *Fliszár* [6] and to Robertson and Verzino [7], led to formation of a rather unstable hydroperoxide, which decomposed to yield 2. Since this hydroperoxide did not display a MeO signal in its ¹H-NMR spectrum after careful removal of MeOH at low temperature and low pressure, the methoxy hydroperoxide 5 [6] must be ruled out. Furthermore, no dismutation of 5 to yield 6 and benzophenone dimethyl acetal had occurred. Production of benzophenone dimethyl acetal was not observed during ozonolysis or workup.

Ozonolysis of 1,1-diphenylethylene in a mixture of t -BuOH and 80% H_2SO_4 , which has been described as a countercurrent method for the efficient ozonization of olefins, gave (tert-butoxy)diphenylmethyl hydroperoxide, related to the corresponding (methoxy)diphenylmethyl hydroperoxide (5) [2b]. We were, however, despite many attempts, unable to confirm this result.

2.7. Ozonolyses of Different Tetraaryl Ethylenes under Various Conditions. 2.7.1. Tetrakis(4-methoxyphenyl)ethene (TME; 21). The results of ozonolyses of tetra 4 methoxy-substituted 1, i.e., 21, either in CH₂Cl₂ or in CCl₄ under various conditions (Scheme 7), may be found in Table 2. Whereas a red-violet charge-transfer complex gradually appeared under aprotic conditions (*Entries* $1-5$ in Table 2), the expected blue color of radical cation $21 + \binom{43}{1}$ instantaneously appeared in the presence of either an acid catalyst or a one-electron acceptor, when O_3 was introduced (*Entries 6–11* in Table 2). It is worth mentioning that no traces of ozonide 22 were found even under the

⁸⁾ With respect to the $C=C$ bond only.

Scheme 7. Ozonolysis of TME (21) under Various Conditions (see also Table 2)

same conditions that worked for a TPE ozonolysis (cf. Entry 4 in Table 1 and Entry 12 in Table 2). In contrast to 1, 21 suffered ion-radical-induced polymerization.

2.7.2. 9-(Diphenylmethylidene)-9H-fluorene $(27a)$ and 9-[Bis(4-methoxyphenyl)*methylidene]*-9H-*fluorene* (27b). These unsymmetric, undistorted⁸) olefins [44] were ozonized in aprotic solvents in order to examine possible ozonide formation, or at least regioselective peroxide formation. As in the case of distorted TME, 27a,b did not form ozonides, tetroxane 3, or tetroxane 24. Only tetroxane 29, previously described as a product of the ozonolysis of 9,9'-bifluorenylidene $(27c)$ [45], was obtained in both cases. Since ozonolysis of 27c was reported to give dispiro[9H-fluorene-9,3'-[1,2]dioxetane-4',9''-9H-fluorene] [46] in pinacolone as solvent, we have examined that reaction under various conditions. In aprotic solvents, 29 was isolated [45]. In contrast to the report by *Yang* and *Carr* [46a], the highest yield of tetraoxane 29 was obtained *via* ozonolysis of **27c** in pinacolone (*Scheme 8*).

	<i>Entry</i> Solvent Cata- Concen- lyst		tration of 21 [m _M]	temp. $\lceil \cdot \rceil$	Reaction Products and Yields ^a)				Color	Time for
					23 [%]	Ketone Tetroxane Ester 24 [%]	$25\,[\%]$	Polymer $26\,[\%]$		appearance of $colorb$) [min]
	CH_2Cl_2 –		4.4	-78	69°	9	9	13	red-violet	2.5
2	CH_2Cl_2 –		14	-78	69	10	8	13	red-violet	3
3	CH_2Cl_2 –		112.9	-78	66	11	7.5	15.5	red-violet 15	
4	CH_2Cl_2 –		14	Ω	67	7	6	20	red-violet	4
5	CCL		14	Ω	55	23	\mathfrak{D}	20	red-violet	5
6	CH ₂ Cl ₂	\mathbf{q}	4.4	-78	77		11	12	blue	e,
7	CH_2Cl_2	$\mathbf{1}$	4.4	-78	70.5	\overline{c}	12.5	15	blue	e١
8	CH ₂ Cl ₂	\mathbf{g}	4.4	-78	77.5		11.5	11	blue	e١
9	CH_2Cl_2	μ	4.4	-78	68.5	3.5	12.5	15.5	blue	e
10	CH ₂ Cl ₂	$\mathbf{1}$	4.4	-78	75		11.5	13.5	blue	e١
11	CH ₂ Cl ₂	\mathbf{I}	4.4	-78	75		11	14	blue	e١
12	CCl_4		14	55	56	10	5.5	28.5	\mathbf{k}	

Table 2. Results of Ozonolyses (with a dry stream of O_2/O_3 at 1 l/min (ca. 1.8 mmol O_3/min) until the reaction was complete, followed by dry O_2 for 15 min, and dry N₂ for 1 h at r.t.) of TAE (21) under Various Conditions

^a) Determined by ¹H-NMR. ^b) After introduction of O_3/O_2 . ^c) Yield determined as 2,4-dinitrophenyl hydrazone 65%. d) 5×10^{-3} mmol CF₃SO₃H. ^e) Color change instantaneous. f) 5×10^{-6} mmol CF₃SO₃H. ^g) $5 \times$ 10^{-3} mmol BF₃ in Et₂O. ^h) 5×10^{-6} mmol BF₃ in Et₂O. ⁱ) 0.24 mol $(4-BrC_6H_4)_3$ NSbCl₆. ^j) Gaseous HCl. k) Pale yellow solution with formation of a colorless powder giving positive peroxide test; products became resinous during attempts to isolate; insoluble in CDCl₃.

Scheme 8. Ozonation of 9-Alkylidene-9H-fluorenes 27 under Various Conditions

a) 27a in CH₂Cl₂, -60° , b) 27b in CCl₄, 0° , c) 27b in CH₂Cl₂, -78° , d) 27c in pinacolone, -50° , e) 27c in CH₂Cl₂, -78° , f) 27c in CCl₄, 0°, g) Inverse ozonolysis, addition of 27c in CH₂Cl₂, -78° , to a solution of O₃ in CH₂Cl₂, -78° .

A marked difference was found when solutions of undistorted⁸) 27b and of distorted⁸) 27c were ozonized in MeOH/CH₂Cl₂ 1:1 at 0°: 27b gave rise to bis(4methoxyphenyl) ketone (23) and the methoxy hydroperoxide 31 [8b] in nearly quantitative yields, whereas 27c led to a mixture of ketones 28 and 33, with 31 present in only trace amounts (Scheme 9).

An independent preparation of 31 by photo-oxygenation of 9-diazofluorene in the presence of MeOH by the method of Sawaki et al. [8b] was possible in principle, but irradiation caused 31 to decompose nearly as quickly as it was formed. Therefore, for comparison, 31 was obtained by simple dioxygenation of 9-methoxy-9H-fluorene (32) by air in the presence of *Triton B* (*cf. Scheme 9*); the known spiro ketone 33 [34] was also independently generated.

2.7.3. $1,2-Bis(4-methoxyphenyl)acenaphthylene (36)$. Because it represents an undistorted (but Baeyer-strained) tetraaryl olefin possessing a rigid C-skeleton, 36 was synthesized for ozonolyses. Its ozonolysis (as a simultaneous transfer of three

Scheme 9. Ozonation of 9-Alkylidene-9H-fluorenes 27b, c in the Presence of MeOH

a) MeOH/CH₂Cl₂ 1:1, 0°; 99%. b) Triton B, pyridine, -10° , followed by H⁺; 42%. c) MeOH/CH₂Cl₂ 1:1, 0°; 28/ 33 ca. 7.5 : 1.

Scheme 10. Synthetic Access to Rigid 1,2-Bis(4-methoxyphenyl)acenaphthylene (36)

 $R = 4$ -Methoxyphenyl

O-atoms) was compared with its epoxidation, followed by C,C cleavage and final dioxygenation of the intermediate red 1,3-diyl or carbonylylide 44 (a stepwise transfer of three O-atoms; cf. Scheme 12), as had previously been described for the corresponding 1,2-diphenylacenaphthylene [47]. Synthesis of 36 was carried out in two ways, starting either from 1,8-naphthoic anhydride (34) or from acenaphthene-1,2 quinone (37) [48] (*Scheme 10, Paths a* and *b*, resp.).

Whereas ozonide 4 of TPE $(1;$ an olefin with torsional strain) was formed in acceptable yields only by heating $(i.e.,$ under abnormal ozonation conditions), ozonide 37 from strained 36 was formed as expected for olefins that are able to form ozonides at low temperatures *(i.e., under normal conditions)*. Moreover, ozonolysis of 36 under inverse-ozonation conditions led to essentially the same result as a normal ozonolysis (in contrast to ozonolysis of 1, cf. Table 1). Whereas 3 was a rather stable ozonide, 39 was very sensitive and was decomposed by heating, by irradiation with visible light, or by acid catalysis to yield keto ester 40. The molecular structure of 40 was confirmed by its hydrolysis to phenol 41 and 4-methoxybenzoic acid (42) (Scheme 11).

Stepwise O-transfer to 36 suffered the disadvantage that the ozonide 39 was photolabile, but the oxirane 43 must be irradiated to cause C,C cleavage to generate the intermediate 46, which is necessary for further photodioxygenation (Scheme 12).

Scheme 11. Formation and Reactions of 1,2-Bis(4-methoxyphenyl)acenaphthylene Ozonide (39)

 $R = 4$ -Methoxyphenyl

a) CH₂Cl₂, -78° , b) CCl₄, 0° , c) MeOH/CH₂Cl₂ 2 : 1, -60° , d) CH₂Cl₂, inverse, -78° , e) CCl₄, 80° , 48 h; 12% $(^1H\text{-NMR})$. f) Xylene, 135°, 6 d; 85%. g) MeOH, reflux (80°), 24 h; 40%. h) CS₂, r.t., 6 d; 23%. i) Toluene, 0°, hv (500-W daylight lamp), 7 h; 81%. j) CH₂Cl₂, 0°, cat. amount of HSO₃Cl, 1 h; 100%. k) Toluene, Ph₃P, 80°, 6 h; 72%. l) CH₂Cl₂, TFA, m-CPBA, r.t., 72 h; 74%. m) KOH/EtOH, reflux, 2 h, followed by 1m H₂SO₄.

Scheme 12. Formation and Reactions of 1,2-Dihydro-1,2-bis(4-methoxyphenyl)acenaphthylene Epoxide (43)

a) KHSO₅, acetone/CH₂Cl₂, buffer, $5-10^{\circ}$, 4 h; 82%. b) MeOH, reflux, 3 d. c) m-CPBA, NaHCO₃, CH₂Cl₂, r.t., 25 h. d) $hv, \lambda = 254$ nm.

Oxirane 43 suffered exclusively heterolytic C,O cleavage in boiling MeOH (Path b). Olefin 36 could not be epoxidized with *m*-chloroperbenzoic acid $(m$ -CPBA), because the intermediate oxirane 43 was immediately cleaved at the $C-O$ and $C-C$ bonds to yield a mixture of the *Wagner-Meerwein*-like rearrangement product 45 and diketone 35 (Path c). A selective C–C cleavage was obtained only by irradiation (Path d).

3. Discussion. $-$ Pure samples of tetroxane **3** and ozonide 4 that show reproducible melting points have been generated for the first time. Hitherto reported controversial values likely reflect errors or contaminated samples. In addition, it has been established that ozonolysis of 1 in the presence of MeOH does not yield a methoxyalkyl hydroperoxide.

Experimental evidence indicates that ground-state $O₃$ is an open-shell singlet diradical instead of the generally accepted closed-shell zwitterion. Independent calculations by Harcourt [22a] led to a similar conclusion, and pointed out shortcomings in earlier calculations, specifically that the contribution of a spin-paired diradical Lewis structure had been largely ignored: 'Until recently, any contribution to resonance of the 'long-bond' (or spin-paired diradical) Lewis structure A has been largely ignored. However, it has now been calculated to be a very important structure' and 'Considerable attention is given to the use of Pauling 3-electron bonds and increasedvalence structures for providing qualitative VB descriptions of electronic structure. The increased-valence structures for electron-rich molecules $-$ for example B and $C -$ are equivalent to resonance between standard and long-bond Lewis structures, and usually involve Pauling 3-electron bonds as diatomic component.

The prevalence of the zwitterionic description for O_3 is perplexing given that it was excluded already 35 years ago by *Gould* and *Linnett* [49a], who concluded that the O_3 molecule is best described in terms of two three-electron bonds, rather than as a resonance hybrid of two valence bond structures each involving a single and double bond. More recent calculations also describe O_3 as a diradical species [49b] [50]; Oatoms with two cumulative multiple bonds have been called 'hypervalent' [23]. Harding and Goddard [51] concluded 20 years ago that experiments describing the electrophilic nature of O_3 were misinterpreted on the basis of the assumed nonelectrophilic behavior of 1,3-biradicals. However, the electrophilic nature of Ocentered radical species is well-established and is consistent with the experimentally established electrophilic character of ground-state O_3 ; the presence of a positively charged O-atom is not required. There can be no electron delocalization in the 4π system of O_3 , which possesses three parallel π -orbital axes and 1,3-diradical character with a hypervalent central O-atom having two approximately orthogonal π -systems, because these features require different molecular geometries. Important experimentally established properties of ground state O_3 include: 1) O_3 is a peroxy radical; 2) O_3 as a strong oxidant is an efficient one-electron acceptor; 3) aside from O_2 , O_3 is the most effective mono-oxygenating agent known; and 4) although organic hydrotrioxides or trioxides (including the so-called 'primary' ozonides) have been described, they cannot result from concerted reactions of 1,3-diradical ozone. Ozonation reactions must involve at least two-steps, to permit energy evolution from nascent $O-H$ or $O-C$ bonds to the solvent and to avoid simultaneous cleavage of week $O-O$ bonds. Since formation of trioxides was not observed in the present investigation, this property will not be further discussed (see the mechanistic investigations of *Pryor et al.* [52]).

The reaction mechanism for alkene ozonolysis proposed by Criegee is generally accepted, but many exceptions to the accepted mechanism of this fundamental reaction have been described. Recently, 1,3-dipolar cycloaddition of O_3 to alkenes (the first step of *Criegee*'s mechanism) has been rejected, on the basis of experimental data, in favor of an initial single-electron-transfer (SET) step to generate alkene-cation-radical/ ozone-anion-radical pairs [53], in agreement with our observations. But the further conclusions of these authors follow classical ideas and are inconsistent with our observations. 1) O_3 is a metastable compound, and its decay requires an activation energy of ca. 105 kJ/mol (25 kcal/mol) to yield atomic and molecular oxygen. However, its anion undergoes very rapid cleavage [54], thus successful alkene ozonolyses should be initiated by *alkene-catalyzed decomposition of* O_3 ⁹). The progress of the reaction depends on the structure of the alkene and on the reaction conditions $(cf. Table 1). 2)$ Most alkenes should undergo a reversible SET to O_3 as a first weakly endothermic step. However, ozonate is a reactive oxygen radical (anion) and should oxygenate alkene cation radicals very quickly and exothermally *via mono-oxygen exchange (Scheme 13)*. Depending on the character of the alkene cation radicals, quasi-epoxidations or openchain oxygenations can occur. However, isomeric reactive intermediates can undergo cleavage of the σ -bond *via* either exergonic isomerization [55] or β -cleavage of the

⁹⁾ Cf. a) Y. A. Maletin, R. C. Cannon, Theor. Exper. Chem. 1998, 34, 57; b) P. Maslak, Top. Curr. Chem. 1999, 168, 1; c) P. Maslak, J. N. Narvaez, Angew. Chem. 1990, 102, 302; Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 1990, 29, 283. (added in proof).

Scheme 13. Fate of the π -Bond during Alkene Ozonolysis

corresponding oxyl radical to generate a carbonyl compound and a carbene cation radical. The *former* situation could have occurred with the rigid cyclic alkene 36, and represents an oxygenative ring enlargement of a strained five-membered ring to a less strained six-membered ring. Our earlier communication on cyclopentene ring ozonolyses [56] already challenged the Criegee mechanism. In the case of electron exchange between unsaturated hydrocarbons and $O₃$, it is not necessary that the initial SET be exergonic; exergonic SET is required only when stationary concentrations of free cation radicals are needed for spectral observations. If alkene cation radicals are needed only to lead to subsequent exergonic oxygenations (cf. formation of 1,2 dioxetanes *via Nelsen*'s CRCC oxygenation of tetraalkyl ethylene cation radicals by $O₂$ [57]), then they usually cannot be established for oxygenations. Nevertheless, they are required for oxygenations. Related cases have recently been discussed in the comprehensive review by Schmittel and Burghart [58a].

The second type of intermediate should occur with distorted alkenes like TPE (1) and its derivatives, where cation radicals must be a good deal more distorted than the neutral radicals. Mono-oxygenation of 1 ⁺ should lead to cation radical 47, which has been proposed as an intermediate during electron-transfer-catalyzed oxirane $C-O$ ring cleavage of 15 induced by one-electron acceptors [59a]. Strong solvation of 47 during ozonolysis of 1 with HFP [39] favors an intramolecular Wagner-Meerwein-like rearrangement to yield benzpinacone $(14; cf. Fig. 4)$. The corresponding rearrangement has been observed in the mass spectrum of 15 [59b], whereas thermal cleavage at 55° (cf. Table 1) should lead to 2 and the diphenyl carbene cation radical 48. Such reactive intermediates have been studied in the gas phase [55l] as well as in solution [60] (*Scheme 14*), but these would be too reactive to be observed during ozonation. Bifunctional intermediates like 48 have been shown in kinetic studies to react as well with $O₂$ as with acetone (and other nucleophiles) at comparable rates [60]. These data exclude a direct proof of 48 in the present case. Therefore, unprecedented ozonide formation by thermal activation, as well as the formation of geminal bis(hydroperoxide) 6 during ozonolysis of 1 with an O_3/O_2 mixture in the presence of MeOH (*cf. Scheme 1*), follow from the assumed intermediacy of **48** *via* **51**. Auto-reaction of **48** after neutralization in the cold should react *via* 50 to give the dimer 3, with the trimer as a trace impurity (Scheme 15).

It has been found that ozonolysis of 9-[bis(4-methoxyphenyl)]methylidene-9Hfluorene (27b) in the presence of MeOH leads regioselectively to the methoxy hydroperoxide 31, contradictory to expectation based on the Criegee mechanism. Ozonolysis favors hydroperoxide formation on the olefinic C-atom of the $C=C$ double bond (*i.e.*, the carbonyl oxide C-atom), offering better stabilization of an intermediate Scheme 14. $C-O$ (Path a) and $C-C$ (Path b) Cleavage of Tetraphenyloxirane Radical-Cation Intermediates

a) O₃, CH₂Cl₂/HFP (cf. Fig. 4). b) O₂, CH₂Cl₂, catalysis by Ph₃CSbCl₆ or by $(4-Br-C₆H₄)₃$ NSbCl₆ [59a]. c) O₃ Cl_4 , 55° (cf. Table 1). d) O_2 , MeCN, hv, anthracene-9,10-dicarbonitrile, 1,1'-biphenyl, MeCN [5b].

Scheme 15. Possible Mechanism of Atypical Ozonolyses of 1 Involving Carbene Radical-Cation Intermediate 48. Path a: neutralization via nucleophile-electrophile interaction; Path b: dioxygenation via C $-$ O radical combination.

$$
1 \quad \frac{+O_3}{-2} \quad \frac{1}{2} \text{CPh}_2 O_2 \longrightarrow \frac{Path \quad \text{Ph}_2 C}{+O_2} \longrightarrow \frac{O \quad \text{dimension} \rightarrow 3}{50}
$$
\n
$$
= \text{Path } \quad \text{Ph}_2 C \longrightarrow O \quad \text{dimension} \rightarrow 3
$$
\n
$$
= \text{Path } \quad \text{Ph}_2 C \longrightarrow O \quad \text{Ch}_3 \text{OH} \rightarrow 6
$$
\n
$$
= \text{St} \quad \text{St} \quad \text{Ch}_3 \text{OH} \rightarrow 6
$$

positive charge. On the other hand, ozonation of bisfluorenylidene (27c) in the presence of MeOH does not form the methoxy hydroperoxide 31 (as expected), but rather 28 and the *Wagner-Meerwein*-like rearrangement product 33 (cf. Scheme 9). Formation of the corresponding rearrangement product 14 (*via* ozonation of 1) required the presence of HFP (cf. Fig. 4). The divergent behavior of 27b and 27c, each containing 9H-fluoren-9-ylidene moieties, is ascribed to the planarity of the doublebond skeleton [44] of 27b in contrast to the strongly distorted double bond $(cf.$ its dark red color) of 27c [13]. Consequently, only 27b is expected to follow the proposed epoxidation path shown in *Scheme 13*, and only **27b** should form the methoxy hydroperoxide 31 during ozonolysis in the presence of MeOH through the intermediates $52 - 57$ (*Scheme 16*); 27c should follow the proposed open-chain O-exchange path leading ultimately to the Wagner-Meerwein-like rearrangement product 33 (similar to Scheme 14).

Nucleophilic substitutions at carboxonium ions (see the last step of Scheme 16) are well-known [61]. In the course of these reactions, carbonyl compounds (e.g., 23) serve as leaving groups.

Scheme 16. Proposed Mechanism for Formation of 31 by Ozonolysis of 27b in the Presence of MeOH

The mechanism of oxirane formation during alkene ozonations (cf) partial-cleavage products in [12b]) is uncertain. Ozone itself is proposed as the epoxidizing agent, or these are O_3 -alkene reaction products, particularly dioxiranes; during ozonolysis of 2,3dimethylbut-2-ene, formation of dimethyl dioxirane (DMDO) has been proposed as an intermediate leading to epoxide formation [62]. In the present case, an attempt to epoxidize distorted 1 with DMDO failed to yield tetraphenyloxirane (15). Similar behavior for diphenyldioxirane (13) could explain the failure to form 15 during ozonation of 1, although the presence of the isomer of 13 , phenyl benzoate (12) in some experiments argues for formation of 13 as an intermediate [10]. On the other hand, in the case of '*undistorted*'⁸) alkene **16**, formation of epoxide **18** (*cf. Scheme 6*) furnished experimental proof for epoxide formation during alkene ozonation, which failed in the case of 1.

The formation of pyridine N -oxide during ozonation of 1 in the presence of pyridine (cf. Entry 16 in Table 1) conflicts with the usual results of the so-called 'pyridine effect' during alkene ozonolyses [63]. Whereas it was confirmed in independent experiments that ozonolysis of several other alkenes leads neither to formation of pyridine N-oxide from added pyridine nor to deoxygenation of added pyridine N-oxide during ozonations in $CH₂Cl₂$, distorted 1 behaved differently. In accord with the reactivity pattern of radical cations [58a], the mechanism proposed in Scheme 17 involves initial nucleophilic attack of pyridine on the radical cation 47 during ozonolysis of 1.

Subsequent reaction steps could include radical β -cleavage of 58, formation of 13, and finally formation of pyridine N-oxide [64].

Experimental Part

1. General. O_3 was generated from a dry stream of O_2 at a flow rate of 1 l/min in a *Sander 301.7* laboratory ozonizer at 0.6 A, which affords O_3 at a rate of ca. 1.8 mmol/min as determined by iodometric titrations, For 'normal' ozonolyses, the O_3/O_2 stream was bubbled through the alkene soln.; for 'inverse' ozonolyses, abs. CH₂Cl₂ (100 ml) was first saturated with O₃ at -78° by introduction of the O₃/O₂ mixture for 10 min before addition of the alkene. The O_3 content (ca. 5 mmol O_3) of the sat. soln. was determined by titration with 0.1m Ph_3P in abs. CH₂Cl₂ at -78° , until the dark blue coloration disappeared. TLC Foils Alugram SILG/UV₂₅₄ (Macherey-Nagel, Germany) were used to continuously monitor the progress of the conversions. Column chromatography (CC) separations were carried out on silica gel $(70-325 \text{ mesh } ASTM)$ or on neutral alumina from Macherey-Nagel with a variety of columns and solvents. M.p.: a calibrated Kofler hot stage for instantaneous measurements that minimize depression of the m.p. caused by formation of decomposition products during the measurement (uncorrected); a Fus-O-mat (Heraeus, Germany) apparatus for fast measurements, and a classical copper block for slow measurements. UV/VIS Spectra: Kontron Uvikon 860 spectrometer; λ_{max} in nm. IR Spectra: *Beckman IR-33* spectrometer; in cm⁻¹. NMR Spectra: *Bruker AM 400* spectrometer (in CDCl₃; ¹H: 400 MHz and ¹³C: 100 MHz); δ in ppm rel. to internal Me₄Si (unless otherwise specified), J in Hz. CI-MS: CH₄, 120 eV , 220° ; in m/z (rel %).

Note: All manipulations of O_3 and peroxide reaction products were carried out in an open-air laboratory behind a safety shield, and excess O_3 was destroyed with KI in dil. aq. AcOH, to minimize risk of violent decompositions or explosions. For reactions with less reactive olefins, which leave larger amounts of unreacted O_3 , we recommend using solns. of sodium thiosulfate, a catalytic amount of KI, and a few drops of AcOH in H₂O to reduce residual O_3 .

2. Preparation of Tetrasubstituted Ethenes. 1,1,2,2-Tetraphenylethene (1) was obtained from Merck and was used without further purification. Alternatively, 1 could be generated and purified starting from benzophenone dichloride and Cu powder by the reductive procedure of Buckles and Matlack [31a], or from benzophenone (2) by the McMurry procedure according to Mukaiyama et al. [31b]. Samples of 1 prepared by these methods were spectroscopically identical to commercially available 1, and were suitable for ozonolytic cleavage. However, they were unsuitable for ESR spectroscopy of 1^+ . The purple radical cation 1^+ could be easily prepared for ESR spectroscopy by air oxidation of commercially available 1 in the presence of FeCl₃ or strong protic acids (e.g., conc. H2SO4 , MsOH, or, preferably, triflic acid; cf. Fig. 3). Traces of transition-metal ions that survived simple recrystallizations caused a change in soln. color from ESR-active purple to ESR-inactive green $(\lambda_{\text{max}} =$ 700 nm, $\log \epsilon = 0.3$). The rate of this color change varied.

(E)-1,2-Di(tert-butyl)-1,2-diphenylethene (16) was prepared according to Leimner and Weyerstahl [41a] [42].

1,1,2,2-Tetrakis(4-methoxyphenyl)ethene (TME; 21) was prepared according to the method of Buckles and Womer [65]. However, 21 readily formed stable solvates with various solvents. Thus, recrystallization from dry toluene furnished a 1:1 solvate which melted and resolidified at 174° , but after further heating displayed the lit. m.p. of $184 - 185^{\circ}$.

9-(Diphenylmethylidene)-9H-fluorene (27a) [66] and 9-[bis(4-methoxyphenyl)methylidene]-9H-fluorene (27b) [44] were prepared as described.

9,9'-Bifluorenylidene (27c). 9-Bromo-9H-fluorene [67] (4.9 g, 20 mmol) was dissolved in t-BuOH (80 ml) at 80° , t-BuOK (6.7 g, 60 mmol) was added in portions with stirring, and the mixture was refluxed for 0.5 h. After cooling, the mixture was added to stirred cold $2N H_2SO_4$ (150 ml). The red precipitate was filtered by suction, washed with H₂O (50 ml), and dried (P₂O₅). Recrystallization from EtOH/CCl₄ 1:10 furnished 27c (4.8 g, 75%). Red crystals. M.p. 186° ([68]: $185-187^{\circ}$).

1,2-Bis(4-methoxyphenyl)acenaphthylene (36). Path a: Mg (1.2 g, 50 mmol) was covered with dry Et₂O (10 ml), and 1-bromo-4-methoxybenzene (9.4 g, 50 mmol) in dry $Et₂O$ (50 ml) was added dropwise. The mixture was heated at reflux for 1 h until the metal was dissolved (after 50 min, a small amount of 1-bromo-4 methoxybenzene was added to complete the reaction). Powdered 1.8-naphthoic anhydride (34; 2 g, 10 mmol) was gradually added to the cooled soln. (internal temp. $20-25^{\circ}$), dry benzene (20 ml) was added for better dissolution, the mixture was stirred for 0.5 h at r.t., then was heated at reflux for 12 h. After cooling, the mixture was added to a sat. aq. NH₄Cl soln.; the product was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (50 ml), dried (Na₂SO₄), the solvent

was removed in vacuo, and the oily residue partially crystallized at -15° . Recrystallization from acetone furnished $1,8-bis(4-methoxybenzoyl)$ naphthalene (35) (0.5 g, 13%). Colorless crystals. M.p. 218° (215 - 216°) [48]).

Path b: as in Path a, but with acenaphthylene-1,2-quinone (37) to furnish a mixture of stereoisomers of 1,2bis(4-methoxyphenyl)-1,2-dihydroacenaphthylene-1,2-diol (38) in 34% yield [48]. A McMurry reduction mixture was prepared by cautious dropwise addition of abs. THF (40 ml) to TiCl₄ $(3.0 \text{ g}, 15.8 \text{ mmol})$ in a carefully dried 3-neck flask. Dry Zn dust was added in small portions and the black mixture was heated at reflux for 1 h. A soln. of either 35 (2.0 g, 5 mmol) or 38 (2.0 g, 5 mmol) in warm abs. THF (120 ml or 40 ml, resp.) was added dropwise with stirring, and the mixture was refluxed for $14-18$ h (with monitoring by TLC (silica gel; $CH_2Cl_2/petroleum ether 1:1)$) until 35 or 38 was consumed. The cooled mixture was added to Et₂O (200 ml), followed by cautious addition of ice water (100 ml) . After the usual workup of the Et₂O layer and recrystallization from EtOH/acetone, 36 (78–82%) was obtained. Red crystals. M.p. 122°. IR (KBr): 1610 (C=C). ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃) 7.83 (d, J = 8.1, 2 H); 7.7 (d, J = 6.9, 2 H); 7.57 (t, J = 7, 2 arom. H); 7.38 (m, 4 arom. H); 6.91 (m, 4 arom. H); 3.84 (s, 2 MeO). ¹³C-NMR: 158.8 (arom. C-OMe); 140.4; 137; 131.2; 128.4; 127.9; 127.8; 127; 123.5; 113.9 (arom. C); 55.2 (MeO). Anal. calc. for $C_{26}H_{20}O_2$ (364.4): C 85.69, H 5.53; found: C 86.03, H 5.48.

Note: This procedure was generally carried out with amounts of reactant ranging from $1.5 - 200$ mmol, which afforded the indicated yields, providing that larger batches $(>200 \text{ ml}$ solvent) were concentrated to $\frac{1}{4}$ of the original volume before workup.

3. Preparation of Pure 3,3,6,6-Tetraphenyl-1,2,4,5-tetroxane (3) and Pure 3,3,5,5-Tetraphenyl-1,2,4 *trioxolane* (4). Crude samples of 3, obtained *via* the ozonolytic [2d] or non-ozonolytic [14] procedure, and 4 [4] were prepared and recrystallized as described. Since trace impurities of ketone 2 and occasionally of ester 12 could not be quantitatively removed by recrystallization, solns. of 3 or 4 were chromatographed on a watercooled $(<15^{\circ})$ silica gel column. In either case, 3 or 4 was the first analyte to elute. Pure samples of 3 obtained non-ozonolytically: m.p. 221 $^{\circ}$ (dec.), 3 obtained ozonolytically: m.p. 215 – 216 $^{\circ}$ (dec.). Pure samples of 4: m.p. 153° (dec.). Mixtures of 3 and 4 obtained by ozonolysis of 1 in CH₂Cl₂ or in CCl₄ could not be separated chromatographically; such samples of tetroxane $3:$ m.p. $205-206^{\circ}$ (dec.).

4. Experiments to Differentiate between Singlet Diradical Character or Zwitterionic Character for Ground-State O_3 . 4.1. Oxygenation of Galvinoxyl by O_2 . According to the procedure of Greene and Adam [28c], pure galvinoxyl $(=2,6-bis(1,1-dimethyl)-4-{3,5-bis(1,1-dimethylethyl})-4-oxocyclohexa-2,5-dien-1-ylidene]me$ thyllphenoxy; 8; ACROS Chimica; 1.0 g, 2.37 mmol) was dissolved in dry CCl₄ (500 ml) and bubbled with a stream of dry O_2 at r.t. Decolorization was observed after 16 h, or after 6.5 h with half as much 8 dissolved in dry 1,2-dichloroethane, a slightly better solvent for dioxygen. The solvent was removed by rotatory evaporation at r.t., and a sample of the resulting viscous orange residue was dissolved in $CDCl₃$ for $H-NMR$ analysis: no peroxidic products were found.

4.2. Oxygenation of Galvinoxyl by O_3/O_2 . A corresponding soln. of galvinoxyl (1.0 g, 2.37 mmol) was prepared in dry CCl₄ (500 ml) and bubbled with a stream of dry O_3/O_2 at r.t.; the O_3 content was ca. 1.8 mmol/ min at a gas flow rate of 1 l/min. The soln. was decolorized within 4 min; galvinoxyl consumed ca. 3 equiv. of O_3 . ¹H-NMR Monitoring showed formation of the corresponding principal products 2,6-di(tert-butyl)cyclohexa-2,5diene-1,4-dione (9), 3,5-di(tert-butyl)-4-hydroxybenzaldehyde (10), 3,5-di(tert-butyl)-4-hydroxybenzoic acid (10a), and a resinous polymer. The products 9 [69], 10 [70], and 10a [71] were identified by comparison with samples synthesized independently by published methods (9 and 10a) or obtained commercially (10).

4.3. 2-(Methoxymethylidene)propanedinitrile (11), prepared according to the procedure of Jones [72] and dissolved in dry CH₂Cl₂, did not react with even a 10-fold excess of O₃ at temps. ranging from -78° to 0° [29].

5. Preparation of Putative Ozonolysis Products by Other Procedures. Phenyl benzoate (12) [73a] and tertbutyl benzoate (19) [73b,c] were prepared by a general procedure from a soln. of PhOH or t-BuOH, resp., in pyridine to which was added an equimolar amount of PhCOCl: 12, $(m.p. 70^{\circ})$; 19, $(b.p₁₀ 94 - 95^{\circ})^{10}$.

Pyridine N-oxide picrate [37], benzpinacone (= phenyl triphenylmethyl ketone, 14) [74], tetraphenyloxirane (15) [75], 1,1-dimethyl-3-phenylpropan-2-one (17) [76], 3,3,6,6-tetrakis(4-methoxyphenyl)tetraoxane (24) [77], 4-methoxyphenyl 4-methoxybenzoate (anisyl anisoate, 25) [78], 9,10-dihydrospiro[9H-fluorene-9,9'-phenanthrene]-10-one (33) [79], $1,8-Bis(4-methoxybenzoyl)$ naphthalene (35), and $1,2-Bis(4-methoxyphenyl)-1,2$ dihydroacenaphthylene-1,2-diol (38) [48] were prepared by published methods.

¹⁰) A b.p.₂ of 96° reported in [73b] was found to be erroneous, and the b.p.₁₀ found here is consistent with that obtained via a different synthetic procedure.

 (E) -2,3-Di(tert-butyl)-2,3-diphenyloxirane (18) [42]. A soln. of commercially available m-CPBA (2.75 g, 11 mmol, peroxide content of $70-75\%$) in dry CHCl₃ (50 ml) was added dropwise to a soln. of **16** [41a] (2.92 g, 10 mmol) in dry CHCl₃ at r.t. over 5 min. The mixture was stirred (20 h), an additional portion of m-CPBA (0.8 g, 3.3 mmol) added, and the mixture was stirred for another 3 h until 16 was consumed (TLC monitoring (silica gel; CH_2Cl_2 /petroleum ether 1:1)). The mixture was diluted with Et_2O (100 ml), extracted 3 \times with 10% aq. Na₂CO₃ (200 ml portions), dried (MgSO₄), the solvent removed, and the resulting residue recrystallized from MeOH to afford 18 (1.74 g, 56%). White crystals, M.p. $156-157^{\circ}$. IR (KBr): 3090, 3065, 2980, 2940, 2910, 2880, 1955, 1605, 1495, 1485, 1450, 1395, 1365, 1265, 1245, 1220, 1200, 1080, 1030, 950, 905, 885, 780, 730, 710. ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): 7.45 (*m*, 4 arom. H); 7.29 (*m*, 6 arom. H); 0.57 (*s*, 2 *t*-Bu). ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃): 139.8; 130.9; 128.6; 127.1; 126.8; 126.0 (arom. C); 75.4 (oxiranyl C); 36.8 (Me₃C); 28.6 (Me₃C). Anal. calc. for C₂₂H₂₈O (308.5): C 85.66, H 9.15, found: C 85.51, H 9.12.

Dispiro[9H-fluorene-9,3'-(1,2,4,5-tetroxane)-6',9"-[9H]fluorene] (29). Exhaustive ozonolyses of 27c, followed by recrystallization from dioxane, furnished 29. M.p. (dec.) 214 $^{\circ}$ [45]: (201 – 203 $^{\circ}$). We also prepared 29 by ozonolysis of 9-(methoxymethylidene)-9H-fluorene with recrystallization from dioxane: m.p. (dec.) 216° $[29]$. CI-MS: 393 (18.7, $[M+1]^+$), 392 (26, M^+), 377 (68, $[M+1-O]^+$), 361 (87, $[M+1-O_2]^+$), 196 (7.6, $[M]$ $(2]^+$), 180 (100, $[C_{13}H_8O]^+$), 152 (83, $[C_{12}H_8]^+$).

Benzo[c]benzopyran-2-one (30). Since the literature procedures [80] gave mixtures in our hands, we prepared 30 from fluorenone (28) by Baeyer-Villiger oxidation. A soln. of $(CF_3CO)_{2}O (2.1 \text{ ml}, 3.15 \text{ mmol})$ in dry CH₂Cl₂ (5 ml) was added dropwise to a suspension of **28** (1.8 g, 10 mmol) and H₂O₂/urea 1:1 adduct (1.4 g, 15 mmol) in dry CH₂Cl₂ (50 ml). The mixture was stirred at r.t. for 48 h, a second portion of H₂O₂/urea adduct was added, and stirring was continued at r.t. for a further 72 h. The mixture was then filtered, the org. phase extracted with H₂O (50 ml), and dried (MgSO₄). After removal of solvent, the resulting yellow oil, which crystallized at r.t., was heated with aq. 2n NaOH (10 ml) at 80° for 10 min, filtered, and the cooled filtrate extracted with Et₂O (20 ml) to remove unreacted yellow fluorenone 28. The aq. phase was acidified with $2N$ HCl and extracted $2 \times$ with Et₂O. The usual workup furnished beige-colored crude 30 (1.5 g), which was recrystallized from petroleum ether/dry EtOH (1.1 g, 56%). Colorless crystals. M.p. 92° ([80]: 94.5° , [80b]: 93°).

9-Methoxy-9H-fluoren-9-yl Hydroperoxide (31). A 40% soln. of Triton B [81] in pyridine (0.05 ml) was diluted with pyridine (1.25 ml) and saturated with O_2 at -10° , and a soln. of 9-methoxy-9H-fluorene (32)¹¹) $(1.0 g, 5.1 mmol)$ in dry pyridine $(20 ml)$ was added dropwise over 95 min (with continuous bubbling of $O₂$ through the mixture). After warming to r.t., the mixture was buffered with one drop of glacial AcOH, diluted with ice water (150 ml), and the mixture repeatedly extracted with Et₂O. The combined org. layer was washed with 5% aq. HCl, H₂O, aq. sat. NaHCO₃, again with H₂O, and dried (Na₂SO₄). The ether was removed in vacuo without heating to yield 31. Yellow oil; the titrated peroxide content was 27%; 31 was contaminated with unreacted 32 (43%) and fluorenone 28 (29%, as determined by 1 H-NMR analysis). All attempts to purify 32 led to formation of 28.

 $8-(4-Methoxybenzovl)$ naphthylen-1-yl 4-Methoxybenzoate (40). A soln. of m-CPBA (70-75%; 3.7 g, corresponding to 2.6 g (15 mmol peracid)) in CH₂Cl₂ (30 ml) was dried (MgSO₄) and added to a soln. of 35 (2.3 g, 5.8 mmol) in dry CH₂Cl₂ (30 ml). The mixture was cooled to 0° and protected from light with Al foil. A soln. of TFA $(0.44 \text{ ml}, 5.8 \text{ mmol})$ in dry CH₂Cl₂ (2 ml) was added dropwise, the mixture was stirred for 48 h, a second portion of m-CPBA (3.7 g) in dry CH₂Cl₂ (30 ml) was added, and stirring was continued for another 48 h. This procedure was repeated for another 48 h, until 35 was completely consumed (TLC monitoring, silica gel; CH_2Cl_2). Subsequently, the soln. was filtered and the liquid phase washed with 10% aq. Na₂SO₃, sat. aq. NaHCO₃, $2 \times$ with H₂O, and dried (MgSO₄). After removal of the solvent *in vacuo*, the resulting brownish oil crystallized on stirring with a mixture of Et₂O (3 ml) and acetone (10 ml). Recrystallization (AcOEt), and additional chromatographic purification of the mother liquor afforded 40 (1.76 g, 74%). M.p. 134 – 136°. IR (KBr): 1735 (OC=O), 1670 (C=O). ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): 8.0 (d, J = 8.3, 1 H); 7.85 (d, J = 8, 1 H); 7.72 (m, 2 H); 7.55 $(m, 2 H)$; 7.39 $(m, 3 H)$; 7.29 $(m, 1 H)$; 6.83 $(m, 2 H)$; 6.6 $(d, J_{AB} = 9.1, 2 H)$; 3.89 (s, MeO) ; 3.77 (s, MeO) .
¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃): 196.3 (C=O); 164.3 (OC=O); 163.9; 163.6 (arom. CO); 146.0; 135.7; 135.3; 132.5; 13 130.1; 129.4; 126.2; 125.7; 125.4; 124.7; 121.5; 120.6; 113.4; 113.1 (arom. C); 55.5; 55.4 (MeO). Anal. calc. for $C_{26}H_{20}O_5$ (412.5): C 75.72, H 4.89; found: C 75.70, H 4.74.

 $8-(4-Methoxybenzoyl)$ naphthalen-1-ol (41). A mixture of 40 (0.7 g, 1.7 mmol) and KOH (0.2 g, 3.4 mmol) in EtOH (25 ml) was heated under reflux for 2 h. The volume was reduced by evaporation to ca. 10 ml. The

¹¹) Attempts to synthesize 31 *via* the Li salt were unsuccessful and led to oxidative dimerization; 9-methoxy-9H-fluorene is known [82a] and was prepared according to [82b].

mixture was added to ice-water (80 ml) and acidified with $1M_{2}SO_{4}$. The aq. phase was extracted $3 \times$ with AcOEt (30 ml), the resulting org. phase was washed with H₂O, dried (MgSO₄), and the solvent was evaporated in vacuo. The resulting yellowish crystalline mixture of 41 and 4-methoxybenzoic acid (42) was separated by CC (silica gel, AcOEt). The first fraction yielded 41 (0.4 g, 89%), which was recrystallized from AcOEt. Colorless crystals. M.p. 148–150°. IR (KBr): 3150 (OH), 1655 (C=O). ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): 8.05 (dd, ¹J = 8, ²J = 1.3, 1 H); 7.85 $(d, J = 8.3, 2 H)$; 7.53 $(m, 2 H)$; 7.44 $(m, 2 H)$; 7.05 $(d, J = 8.4, 1 H)$; 6.96 $(d, J_{AB} = 8.3, 2 H)$; 3.88 (s, MeO); 1.6 (br. s, OH). ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃): 200.7 (C=O); 163.8 (arom. C–OMe); 152.5 (arom. C–OH); 135.7; 134.9; 133.1; 131.9; 131.4; 129.1; 127.3; 124.2; 122.2; 121.3; 113.6 (arom. C); 55.6 (MeO). Anal. calc. for $C_{18}H_{14}O_3$ (278.3): C 77.68, H 5.07; found: C 77.80, H 5.19.

4-Methoxybenzoic acid (42) (0.12 g, 46%), obtained from the second fraction, was identical to an authentic sample.

 $1,2-Bis(4-methoxyphenyl)-1,2-epoxy-1,2-dihydroacenaphthylene (43)$. A mixture of KHSO₅ (caroate; 10 g, corresponding to 30 mmol $KHSO₅$ and NaHCO₃ (13 g, 154 mmol) in distilled H₂O (100 ml) and 0.002_M aq. $Na₂(EDTA)$ (20 ml) was vigorously stirred at 5° while a soln. of 36 (4.2 g, 13.8 mmol) and 18-crown-6 (0.2 g, 0.07 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (80 ml) and acetone (15 ml) was added dropwise. After stirring for 4 h at $5-10^{\circ}$, 36 was consumed (TLC monitoring) and the mixture was poured into ice-cold H_2O . Separation of the org. phase and usual workup afforded a yellow oil that crystallized from Et₂O/petroleum ether on cooling: 43 (1.56 g, 82%). Colorless crystals. M.p. 138–139°. UV (CH₂Cl₂): 285 (10230). ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): 7.85 (*d, J* = 8.2, 2 H); 7.53 $(t, J = 8.1, 2 \text{ H})$; 7.46 $(d, J = 7.2, 2 \text{ H})$; 7.39 $(d, J_{AB} = 8.2, 4 \text{ H})$; 6.85 $(d, J_{AB} = 8.6, 4 \text{ H})$; 3.77 (s, 2 MeO). ¹³C-NMR $(CDCl_3)$: 159.3; 141.7; 138.1; 132.5; 129.2; 127.3; 126.2; 124.7; 123.0; 113.5 (arom. C); 76.0 (C-O-C); 55.2 (MeO). Anal. calc. for $C_{26}H_{20}O_3$ (380.5): C 82.08, H 5.3; found: C 82.02, H 5.43.

1,2-Bis(4-methoxyphenyl)-2-methoxy-1,2-dihydroacenaphthylen-1-ol (44). Oxirane 43 (0.2 g, 0.52 mmol) was refluxed in abs. MeOH (20 ml) until complete methanolysis was observed by TLC (ca. 3 d). The solvent was removed in vacuo, and the resulting viscous yellow-brown oil was chromatographed (silica gel; CH₂Cl₂). The Wagner-Meerwein rearrangement product 2,2-bis(4-methoxyphenyl)-1,2-dihydroacenaphthylen-1-one (45) [48] was collected in the first fraction (40 mg, 20%). The second fraction contained 44, which was crystallized from AcOEt (110 mg, 56%). Colorless crystals. M.p. 205 – 206°. IR (KBr): 3470 (OH). ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): 7.89 (*d, J* = 8.3, 1 H); 7.83 (d, $J = 8.2$, 1 H); 7.61 (m, 2 H); 7.44 (d, $J = 6.8$, 1 H); 7.34 (d, $J = 7, 1$ H); 7.05 (d, $J = 8.8$, 2 H); 6.64 $(d, J = 8.8, 2 \text{ H})$; 6.33 (s, 4 H); 4.93 (s, OH); 3.72 (s, MeO); 3.57 (s, MeO); 3.07 (s, MeO). ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃): 158.8; 157.9 (arom. C–OMe); 146.9; 139.3; 138.0; 135.9; 131.0; 129.7; 129.4; 129.0; 128.1; 127.9; 126.9; 125.7; 124.4; 123.5; 121.3; 113.7; 112.9; 112.2 (arom. C); 93.1 (C-OMe); 90.6 (C-OH); 55.1; 55.0; 52.1 (MeO). Anal. calc. for $C_{27}H_{24}O_4$ (412.5): C 78.62, H 5.86; found: C 78.38, H 5.76.

6. Photooxygenation of 43. Oxirane 43 (0.38 g, 1 mmol) was dissolved in dry toluene (100 ml), degassed with ultrasound under N₂, and irradiated (500-W Hg high-pressure lamp) under N₂ at r.t. After 10 min, a few ml of the intensely red soln. was transferred to a dry Ar-filled UV cell, and an absorption with $\lambda_{\rm max}$ 532.5 nm was recorded. Dry O₂ was bubbled through the remainder of the irradiated soln., which became instantaneously decolorized. Complete conversion of 43 after 10 h was indicated by TLC (alumina, toluene/AcOEt 10:1). The solvent was removed in vacuo, and the remaining yellow-brown oil was crystallized from Et₂O/MeOH, affording 40 (0.24 g, 58%).

7. Oxidation of Alkene 36 by m-CPBA. A mixture of 36 $(0.6 \text{ g}, 1.64 \text{ mmol})$, CH₂Cl₂ (50 ml), sat. aq. NaHCO₃ (50 ml), and m-CPBA (70-75%, 0.3 g, ca. 1.8 mmol) was stirred for 24 h at r.t. Another portion of m-CPBA $(0.3 g)$ was added and stirring continued for 1 h until 36 was completely consumed (TLC monitoring), and the mixture was worked up. Instead of epoxide 43 , rearranged ketone 45 (0.13 g, 21%, m.p. 151 $^{\circ}$ (acetone) [48]), and the C-C cleavage product 35 (0.43 g, 67%, m.p. 218 \degree (acetone) [48]), were isolated by CC (silica gel, $CH₂Cl₂$) in the first and the second fractions, resp.

8. General Ozonation Procedures. 8.1. Tetraarylethylenes (TPE, 1: Table 1 and Fig. 4; TAE, 21: Table 2; 9alkylidene-9H-fluorenes, 27a,b,c: Scheme 8; and 36: Scheme 11). Routine ozonolyses were carried out under normal conditions, i.e., introduction of a dry stream of O_2/O_3 (ca. 3%) at a flow rate of 1 l/min (corresponding to ca. 1.8 mmol O_3/m in) into a soln. of the alkene. When the reaction was complete, dry O_2 was bubbled through the mixture for further 15 min at the reaction temp., and dry N_2 was bubbled through the mixture for 1 h at r.t. before the mixture was worked up (see Table 2 for details regarding catalysts, quantities, concentrations, solvents, and reaction temp.). The following procedures from $Schemes 8$ and 11 were selected as typical examples of ozonolyses of 27c and of 36. Olefin 27c $(1.0 \text{ g}, 3 \text{ mmol})$ in dry 3.3-dimethylbutan-2-one (pinacolone, 50 ml) was ozonized at -50° until the red color disappeared. The solvent was removed in vacuo, and the remaining yellow-white residue was stirred with MeOH (5 ml), stored for 12 h at 0° , and filtered by suction. The resulting crystals were suspended in MeOH (3 ml), heated to reflux, the hot suspension was filtered, and the product recrystallized from dioxane to yield 29 (0.25 g, 21%). Colorless crystals. M.p. 214 \degree (203 \degree [45] [80b]). Workup of the mother liquor of the hot suspension in MeOH yielded additional 29 (60 mg, for a total yield of 0.31 g, 26%) together with fluorenone 28 (0.72 g, 67%, m.p. 83 $^{\circ}$ (EtOH)). Refluxing 29 in PhCl did not lead to chemiluminescence as reported by *Yang* and *Carr* [46a]. Because of the $>10^{\circ}$ deviation from literature values [45] [80b] for the m.p. of 29, this product was further characterized by spectroscopic methods. IR (KBr): 3020, 1615, 1590, 1455, 1315, 1225, 1210, 1160, 1030, 1000, 760. ¹H-NMR ((D₆)DMSO): 7.98 (*d, J* = 7.7, 4 H); 7.81 (d, J = 7.7, 2 H); 7.52 (m, 8 H); 7.39 (t, J = 7.4, 2 H); 6.87 (m, 2 H). ¹³C-NMR ((D₆)DMSO): 135.5; 129.4; 123.6; 121.1 (arom. C); 95.4 (O-C-O). CI-MS: 393.3 (18.7 $[M+1]^+$), 392.3 (26, M⁺), 377.3 (68.3, $[M+1]^+$) $(1 - \text{O})^+$), 361.3 (87.4 $[M + 1 - \text{O}_2]^+$), 180.2 (100, $[\text{C}_{13}\text{H}_8\text{O}]^+$), 152.2 (83.1 $[\text{C}_{12}\text{H}_8]^+$).

8.2. $1,2-Bis(4-methoxyphenyl)acenaphthylene Ozonide (39).$ Olefin 36 (2.0 g, 5.5 mmol) in dry CH₂Cl₂ (150 ml) was ozonized at -75° until the red-orange color had disappeared, followed by workup according to the general procedure to yield 39 (1.95 g, 86%), which could be recrystallized from warm (but not refluxing) MeOH. Nearly white crystals. M.p. 161°. IR (KBr): 3060, 3020, 2980, 2940, 2905, 2850, 1620, 1585, 1520, 1500, 1470, 1440, 1355, 1305, 1280, 1255, 1180, 1090, 1075, 1050, 1030, 1005, 830, 790. ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): 7.83 (*m*, 2 H); 7.65 $(m, 4H)$; 7.37 $(m, 2H)$; 6.99 $(m, 6H)$; 3.85 $(s, 2 \text{ MeO})$. ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃): 160.5 (arom. C-OMe); 135.8, 132.9; 128.4; 128.3; 125.7; 125.5; 123.4; 113.7 (arom. C); 110.0 (ozonide C); 55.4 (MeO). Anal. calc. for $C_{26}H_{20}O_5$ (412.5): C 75.72, H 4.89; found: C 75.73, H 5.01.

9. Generation of 8-(4-Methoxybenzoyl)naphthalen-1-yl 4-Methoxybenzoate (40) from Ozonide 39. 9.1. Photo-catalyzed Rearrangement. Ozonide 39 (0.5 g, 1.2 mmol) in abs. toluene (100 ml) was irradiated, under N_2 at 0°, with a 500-W halogen day-light lamp (7 h). After storing the mixture for several days at -20° , crystalline 40 was obtained in nearly quant. yield. Recrystallization from AcOEt yielded colorless product (0.4 g, 81%), which was identical to the product from peracid oxidation of diketone 35.

9.2. Acid-Catalyzed Rearrangement. To a stirred soln. of 39 (0.2 g, 0.5 mmol) in abs. CH₂Cl₂ (20 ml) under N_2 , one drop of HSO₃Cl was added at 0° . The colorless soln. immediately became reddish brown. TLC analysis (silica gel; CH₂Cl₂/petroleum ether 1:1) revealed quant. isomerization of 39 to keto ester 40. After 1 h, the soln. was extracted with sat. aq. NaHCO₃, followed by H₂O, then dried (MgSO₄), and the solvent was removed in vacuo, yielding homogeneous 40 in quant. yield (TLC).

We cordially thank L. Eberson (Lund, Sweden) and J. Fabian (Dresden, Germany) for helpful discussions and for providing valuable references, R.F. Langler (Sackville, Canada) for helpful discussions and proof reading, and Mrs. B. Boeffel for the organization of this manuscript.

REFERENCES

- [1] H. Fischer, R. Müller, Hoppe-Seyler's Z. Physiol. Chem. 1925, 148, 157.
- [2] a) C. S. Marvel, V. Nichols, J. Org. Chem. 1941, 6, 296; b) N. A. Milas, P. Davis, J. T. Nolan Jr., J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1955, 77, 2536; c) J. I. G. Cadogan, D. H. Hey, W. A. Sanderson, J. Chem. Soc. 1960, 4897; d) S. Fliszár, D. Gravel, E. Cavalieri, Can. J. Chem. 1966, 44, 67; e) S. Fliszár, J. B. Chylinska, Can. J. Chem. 1968, 46, 783; f) K. J. McCullough, A. R. Morgan, D. C. Nonhebel, P. L. Pauson, G. J. White, J. Chem. Res. (Miniprint) 1980, 0601.
- [3] R. Criegee, Chimia 1968, 22, 392.
- [4] R. Criegee, H. Korber, Chem. Ber. 1971, 104, 1812.
- [5] a) M. Miura, M. Nojima, J. Am Chem. Soc. 1980, 102, 288; b) A. P. Schaap, L. Lopez, S. D. Gagnon, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1983, 105, 663.
- [6] J. Renard, S. Fliszár, Can. J. Chem. 1969, 47, 3333.
- [7] J. C. Robertson, W. J. Verzino Jr., *J. Org. Chem.* **1970**, 35, 545.
- [8] a) Y. Ogata, Y. Sawaki, M. Shiroyama, J. Org. Chem. 1977, 42, 4061; b) Y. Sawaki, H. Kato, Y. Ogata, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1981, 103, 3832; c) K. Griesbaum, W.-S. Kim, N. Nakamura, M. Mori, M. Nojima, S. Kusabayashi, J. Org. Chem. 1990, 55, 6153.
- [9] S. Rakovski, D. Cherneva, Int. J. Chem. Kinetics 1990, 22, 321.
- [10] W. W. Sander, J. Org. Chem. 1989, 54, 333.
- [11] a) F. W. Hardstock, J. M. Kanabus-Kaminska, D. Griller, Int. J. Chem. Kinetics 1989, 21, 157, b) D. D. M. Wayner, D. Griller, in 'Advances in Free Radical Chemistry' Vol. 1: Ed. D. D. Tanner, JAI Press, Greenwich, 1990, pp. $181 - 182$.
- [12] a) R. Criegee, Angew. Chem. 1975, 87, 765; R. Criegee, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 1975, 14, 745; b) P. S. Bailey, Ozonation in Organic Chemistry; Part 1: Olefinic Compounds, Academic Press, New York, 1978; c) G. Zvilichovsky, B. Zvilichovsky, in The Chemistry of Functional Groups: Supplement E 2, The Chemistry of Hydroxyl, Ether, and Peroxide Groups', Ed. S. Patai, Wiley-Interscience, Chichester, 1993, pp. 687 - 784.
- [13] a) W. Luef, R. Keese, in Topics in Stereochemistry Vol. 20, Eds. E. L. Eliel, S. H. Wilen, John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1991, pp. 231 - 318; b) J. Sandström, in 'The Chemistry of Functional Groups, Supplement A 3: The Chemistry of Double-Bonded Functional Groups, Ed. S. Patai, John Wiley & Sons Ltd., Chichester, 1997, pp. 1253 - 1280.
- [14] C. S. Marvel, V. E. Nichols, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1938**, 60, 1455.
- [15] P. D. Bartlett, T. G. Traylor, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1962, 84, 3408.
- [16] S. W. Lebedew, J. Andreewsky, A. Matyuchkina, Ber. Dtsch. Chem. Ges. 1923, 56, 2349.
- [17] E. P. Kohler, N. K. Richtmyer, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1930, 52, 2038.
- [18] H. Beck, Ph.D. Thesis, University of Saarland, 1988.
- [19] O. L. Mageli, C. S. Sheppard, in 'Organic Peroxides' Vol. I, Ed. D. Swern, John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1970, p. 32.
- [20] P. Bladon, K. J. McCullough, A. R. Morgan, D. C. Nonhebel, P. L. Pauson, G. J. White, J. Chem. Res. (Miniprint) 1980, 3701.
- [21] R. Trambarulo, S. N. Ghosh, C. A. Burrus Jr., W. Gordy, J. Chem. Phys. 1953, 21, 851.
- [22] a) R. D. Harcourt, in 'Lecture Notes in Chemistry', Vol. 30, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1982; b) P. C. Hiberty, Isr. J. Chem. 1983, 23, 10; c) S. D. Kahn, W. J. Hehre, J. A. Pople, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1987, 109, 1871; d) K. Andersson, P. Borowski, P. W. Fowler, P.-Å. Malmquist, B. O. Ross, A. J. Sadley, Chem. Phys. Lett. 1992, 190, 367.
- [23] D. L. Cooper, J. Gerratt, M. Raimondi, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2 1989, 1187.
- [24] a) D. Bahnemann, E. J. Hart, J. Chem. Phys. 1982, 86, 252; b) P. Zurer, Chem. Eng. News 1995, 73, 4; c) J. S. Francisco, M. M. Maricq, Acc. Chem. Res. 1996, 29, 391.
- [25] a) H. S. Johnston, D. M. Yost, J. Chem. Phys. 1949, 17, 386; b) D. D. Davis, J. Prusazcyk, M. Dwyer, P. Kim, J. Phys. Chem. 1974, 78, 1775; c) H. Suzuki, T. Takeuchi, T. Mori, J. Org. Chem. 1996, 61, 5944 and related communications.
- [26] a) P. S. Bailey, J. E. Keller, J. Org. Chem. 1968, 33, 2680; b) W. Rundel, in 'Methoden der Organischen Chemie 4. Aufl., Georg Thieme Verlag, Stuttgart, 1968, p. 440; c) H. G. Padeken, O. von Schickh, A. Segnitz, in Methoden der Organischen Chemie 4. Aufl., Georg Thieme Verlag, Stuttgart, 1971, p. 106; d) R. E. Erickson, T. M. Myszkiewicz, J. Org. Chem. 1965, 30, 4326; e) J. L. Gagnon, T. R. Walters, W. W. Zajac Jr., J. H. Buzby, J. Org. Chem. 1993, 58, 6712.
- [27] P. S. Bailey, 'Ozonation in Organic Chemistry, Part 2: Nonolefinic Compounds', Academic Press, New York, 1982, p. 266.
- [28] a) M. S. Kharasch, B. S. Joshi, J. Org. Chem. 1957, 22, 143; b) P. D. Bartlett, T. Funahashi, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1962, 84, 2596; c) F. D. Greene, W. Adam, J. Org. Chem. 1963, 28, 3550.
- [29] S. Pistorius, Ph.D. Thesis, 1992, University of Saarland.
- [30] a) I. C. Lewis, L. S. Singer, J. Chem. Phys. 1965, 43, 2712; b) E. Bosch, J. K. Kochi, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1996, 118, 1319.
- [31] a) R. E. Buckles, G. M. Matlack, Org. Synth., Coll. Vol. IV 1963, 914; b) T. Mukaiyama, T. Sato, J. Hanna, Chem. Lett. 1973, 1041.
- [32] H.-W. Adolph, M. Zeppepauer, Departments of Biochemistry, and Pharmacy and Environmental Technology, University of Saarland, personal communication.
- [33] H.-H. Ruf, K. Gersonde, IBMT Fraunhofer-Institut für Biomedizinische Technik, St. Ingbert, Germany, personal communication.
- [34] F. Ciminale, L. Lopez, G. Mele, *Tetrahedron* 1994, 50, 12685.
- [35] J.-P. Desvergne, H. Bouas-Laurent, *J. Catalysis* **1978**, 51, 126.
- [36] P. S. Bailey, 'Ozonation in Organic Chemistry, Part 1: Olefinic Compounds', Academic Press, New York, 1978, p. 133; P. S. Bailey, Ozonation in Organic Chemistry, Part 2: Nonolefinic Compounds Academic Press, New York, 1982, p. 144.
- [37] J. Meisenheimer, *Ber. Dtsch. Chem. Ges.* **1926**, 59, 1848.
- [38] H. T. Openshaw, A Laboratory Manual of Qualitative Organic Analysis, 3rd edn., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1965, p. 80; R. Kluge, M. Schulz, S. Liebsch, Tetrahedron 1996, 52, 5773.
- [39] a) L. Eberson, M. P. Hartshorn, O. Persson, J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 2 1995, 1131; L. Eberson, M. P. Hartshorn, O. Persson, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2 1995, 1735; b) L. Eberson, O. Persson, F. Radner,

M. P. Hartshorn, Res. Chem. Intermed. 1996, 22, 799; c) L. Eberson, M. P. Hartshorn, F. Radner, O. Persson, Chem. Commun. 1996, 215; d) L. Eberson, O. Persson, Acta Chem. Scand. 1996, 50, 395; e) L. Eberson, M. P. Hartshorn, O. Persson, F. Radner, Chem. Commun. 1996, 2105; f) L. Eberson, M. P. Hartshorn, F. Radner, O. Persson, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2 1998, 59.

- [40] J. Eriksen, C. S. Foote, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1977, 99, 6455; J. Eriksen, C. S. Foote, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1980, 102, 6083.
- [41] a) J. Leimner, P. Weyerstahl, Chem. Ber. 1982, 115, 3697; b) J. E. Gano, C. Kluwe, K. Kirschbaum, A. A. Pinkerton, P. Sekher, E. Shrzypczak-Jankun, G. Subramaniam, D. Lenoir, Acta Crystallogr. Sect. C: Cryst. Struct. Commun. 1997, 53, 1723.
- [42] C. Breyer, Diplomarbeit, University of Saarland, 1998.
- [43] V. Kozhevnikov, L. Eberson, New J. Chem. 1992, 16, 351.
- [44] M. L. T. M. B. Franco, B. J. Herold, J. C. Evans, C. C. J. Rowlands, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans 2 1988, 443.
- [45] R. Criegee, G. Lohaus, *Liebigs Ann. Chem.* **1953**, 583, 6.
- [46] a) N. C. Yang, R. V. Carr, Tetrahedron Lett. 1972, 5143; b) N. C. Yang, J. Liebman, J. Org. Chem. 1974, 39, 1782.
- [47] K. Schank, M. Buschlinger, J. Prakt. Chem. 1995, 337, 196.
- [48] W. E. Bachmann, E.-J. W. Chu, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1936, 58, 1118.
- [49] a) R. D. Gould, J. W. Linnett, J. Chem. Soc., Faraday Trans 1963, 59, 1001; b) K. Yamaguchi, K. Takada, Y. Otsuji, K. Mizuno, in 'Organic Peroxides', Ed. W. Ando, John Wiley & Sons Ltd., Chichester, 1992, pp. 1 -100.
- [50] W. A. Goddard III, T. H. Dunning Jr., W. J. Hunt, P. J. Hay, Acc. Chem. Res. 1973, 6, 368.
- [51] L. B. Harding, W. A. Goddard III, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1978, 100, 7180.
- [52] a) W. A. Pryor, D. G. Prier, D. F. Church, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1983, 105, 2883; b) W. A. Pryor, N. Ohto, D. F. Church, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1983, 105, 3614; c) D. H. Giamalva, D. F. Church, W. A. Pryor, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1986, 108, 7678.
- [53] X.-M. Zhang, Q. Zhu, J. Org. Chem. 1997, 62, 5934.
- [54] L. E. Bennett, P. Warlop, Inorg. Chem. 1990, 29, 1975; b) L. Forni, D. Bahnemann, E. J. Hart, J. Phys. Chem. 1982, 86, 255; c) A. R. Forrester, V. Purushotham, J. Chem. Soc., Faraday Trans. 1 1987, 83, 211.
- [55] a) W. J. Bouma, J. K. MacLeod, L. Radom, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1979, 101, 5540; b) K. N. Houk, N. G. Rondan, C. Santiago, C. J. Gallo, R. Wells-Gandour, G. W. Griffin, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1980, 102, 1504; c) B. C. Baumann, J. K. MacLeod, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1981, 103, 6223; d) W. J. Bouma, D. Poppinger, S. Saebo, J. K. MacLeod, L. Radom, Chem. Phys. Lett. 1984, 104, 198: e) T. Bally, S. Nitsche, E. Haselbach, Helv. Chim. Acta 1984, 67, 86; f) T. Clark, Chem. Commun. 1984, 666; g) L. D. Snow, F. Williams, Chem. Phys. Lett. 1988, 143, 521; h) M. C. R. Symons, J. L. Wyatt, Chem. Phys. Lett. 1988, 146, 473; i) C. Wesdemiotis, B. Leyh, A. Fura, F. W. McLafferty, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1990, 112, 8655; j) H. D. Roth, Top. Curr. Chem. 1992, 163, 133; k) T. Clark, Top. Curr. Chem. 1996, 177, 1; l) M. N. Eberlin, A. E. P. M. Sorrilha, F. C. Gozzo, R. S. Pimpin, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1997, 119, 3550.
- [56] K. Schank, S. Pistorius, M. Weiter, F. Werner, J. Prakt. Chem. 1995, 337, 409.
- [57] a) S. F. Nelsen, Acc. Chem. Res. 1987, 20, 269; b) S. F. Nelsen, in 'Advances in Oxygenated Processes', Ed. A. L. Baumstark, JAI Press Inc., Greenwich, Vol. 2, 1990, pp. $159-179$; c) M. Buschlinger, Ph.D. Thesis, University of Saarbrücken, 1994.
- [58] a) M. Schmittel, A. Burghart, Angew. Chem. 1997, 109, 2658; M. Schmittel, A. Burghart, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 1997, 36, 2550; b) M. Patz and S. Fukuzumi, J. Phys. Org. Chem. 1997, 10, 129.
- [59] a) L. Lopez, L. Troisi, Tetrahedron Lett. 1989, 30, 3097; b) H. E. Audier, J. F. Dupin, M. Fétizon, Y. Hoppilliard, Tetrahedron Lett. 1966, 2077.
- [60] D. G. Stoub, J. L. Goodman, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1997, 119, 11110.
- [61] a) H. Perst, Oxonium Ions in Organic Chemistry, Verlag Chemie, Weinheim, 1971, p. 81; b) S. Hünig, Angew. Chem. 1964, 76, 400; S. Hünig, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 1964, 3, 548.
- [62] R. W. Murray, W. Kong, S. N. Rajadhyaksha, J. Org. Chem. 1993, 58, 315.
- [63] a) K. Griesbaum, Brennst. Chem. 1969, 50, 212; b) E. H. Pryde, J. C. Cowan, in Topics in Lipid Chemistry, Ed. F. D. Gunstone, Logos Press, London 1971, Vol. 2, pp. $45-48$; c) P. S. Bailey, 'Ozonation in Organic Chemistry. Part 1: Olefinic Compounds', Academic Press, New York, 1978, p. 133; P. S. Bailey, 'Ozonation in Organic Chemistry. Part 2: Nonolefinic Compounds', Academic Press, New York, 1982, pp. 144 - 146.
- [64] M. Ferrer, F. Sanchez-Baeza, M. Messeguer, Tetrahedron 1997, 53, 15877.
- [65] R. E. Buckles, W. D. Womer, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1958, 80, 5055.
- [66] a) J. W. Hill, J. A. Jenson, J. G. Yaritz, J. Chem. Educ. 1986, 63, 916; b) V. Kaufmann, Ber. Dtsch. Chem. Ges. 1896, 29, 73.
- [67] J. R. Sampey, E. E. Reid, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1947**, 69, 234.
- [68] P. M. G. Bavin, Can. J. Chem. 1960, 38, 882.
- [69] K. Omura, Synthesis 1998, 1145.
- [70] G. M. Coppinger, T. W. Campbell, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1953**, 75, 734.
- [71] E. Müller, A. Rieker, R. Mayer, K. Scheffer, Liebigs Ann. Chem. 1961, 645, 36.
- [72] R. G. Jones, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1952, 74, 4889.
- [73] a) L. Gattermann, H. Wieland, W. Sucrow, 'Die Praxis des organischen Chemikers', 43rd edn., de Gruyter, Berlin, New York, 1982, p. 704; b) J. F. Norris, G. W. Rigby, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1932, 54, 2088; c) M. Pfanne, Monatsh. Chem. 1911, 32, 509.
- [74] W. E. Bachmann, Org. Synth., Coll., Vol. II, 1943, 73.
- [75] W. A. Mosher, F. W. Steffgen, P. T. Lansbury, J. Org. Chem. 1961, 26, 670.
- [76] J. H. Ford, C. D. Thompson, C. S. Marvel, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1935, 57, 2619.
- [77] S. K. Agarwal, R. W. Murray, Photochem. Photobiol. 1982, 31.
- [78] G. Cilento, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1953, 75, 3748.
- [79] a) M. Gomberg, W. E. Bachmann, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1927, 49, 236; b) T. Minami, N. Matsuzaki, Y. Ohshiro, T. Agawa, J. Chem. Soc., Perkins 1, 1980, 1731; c) L. Lopez, G. Mele, C. Mazzeo, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1994, 779.
- [80] a) G. Wittig, G. Pieper, Ber. Dtsch. Chem. Ges. 1940, 73, 295; b) R. Criegee, W. Schnorrenberg, J. Becke, Liebigs Ann. Chem. 1949, 7.
- [81] a) Y. Sprinzak, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1958, 80, 5449; b) L. F. Fieser, M. Fieser, 'Reagents for Organic Synthesis, Vol. 1, Wiley and Sons, Sydney, 1967, 1252.
- [82] a) A. Kliegl, Ber. Dtsch. Chem. Ges. 1929, 62, 1327; b) P. Wan, E. Krogh, Chem. Commun. 1985, 1207.

Received February 2, 2000